On Setting Limits for New Particle Production

Paul Simeon & David Toback Texas A&M University Texas Section of the APS Meeting Fall, 2004

What is the Question?

- Lots of ways to search for supersymmetry at a particle accelerator
- Many Feynman diagrams in a single model can produce the same final state
- If no new physics are found, set cross section limits
- Want to optimize the experiment to give the best limit
- How do we do that?

Example: Gauge Mediated Supersymmetry Breaking

- pp̄ collisions at Fermilab
- $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \gamma \tilde{G}$
- Final State:

$$\gamma\gamma \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G} + X$$



γγ + 2 Gravitinos in Final State

Texas A&M University

Two Processes... Same Final State



Texas A&M University

Can Set Limits On SUSY Using γγ+MET

• Pick a set of model parameters

– e.g. GMSB, sparticle masses: $\chi^+ = 150$ GeV

• Assume we do a single search for both processes at the same time

– e.g. 2 photons and MET

• Uniquely defines σ_A^{95} and \mathcal{E} for both A and B

Setting Limits...

If we get a null result from an experiment, we can set a 95% confidence level upper cross section limit on process A, σ_A^{95} , using

$$\sigma_A^{95} = \frac{N^{95}}{L\varepsilon_A}$$

where N⁹⁵ is the 95% C.L. upper limit on the number of signal events.

Can do the same for process B or the combination of processes at the same time.

Texas A&M University

Which one is better?

One analysis... three limits:

$$\sigma_A^{95}, \sigma_B^{95}, \text{ and } \sigma_T^{95}$$

Which one of these is the most sensitive to new physics?

A) Lots of produced events, but low efficiency?B) Not many events, but high efficiency?

Texas A&M University

An Example

No events observed in the data. Assume $N^{95} = 3.0$ and luminosity = 100 pb⁻¹

	Process	Е	$\sigma^{^{Theory}}_{\qquad (ext{pb})}$	$\sigma^{_{95}}{}_{\scriptscriptstyle{(pb)}}$	
low ε , high σ^{Th}	Α	5%	0.50	0.60	not excluded
high ε , low σ^{Th}	В	20%	0.10	0.15	not excluded
	Total	7.5%	0.60	0.40	excluded

Lowest limit, but not excluded

 σ_{R}^{95} may be the lowest limit but is <u>not</u> the most <u>useful</u> limit. Only σ_T^{95} excludes the model.

Paul Simeon and David Toback

Is it ever better to look for A or B? **Perhaps looking for both at the same** time is always best. **Q:** Could σ_A^{95} or σ_B^{95} ever exclude a model while σ_{T}^{95} does not? NO!

Using a series of inequalities, we were able to show that if either A or B exclude a model, then the total limit must also excludes the model.

Texas A&M University

We have shown that...



The proof can be found at http://hepr8.physics.tamu.edu/hep/limits/

Texas A&M University

Conclusion

- If new physics (e.g. SUSY) can produce the same final state multiple ways (A or B), then the limit on the combination may exclude the model even if A and B individually do not.
- The total process must <u>always</u> be excluded if any single process is excluded.
- Optimizing the experiment to minimize the total combined cross section limit gives the most sensitivity to new physics.
- This result should hold for any search for new physics at the Tevatron or the LHC
 - Already been used at the Tevatron.

Backup Slides

Paul Simeon and David Toback

On Setting Limits for Supersymmetry

Abstract

When searching for new particles two separate production mechanisms from the same theory may produce the same final state. For example, in gauge mediated supersymmetry breaking with $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$ at least two production mechanisms can cascade to produce events with two photons and missing transverse energy. If there is no discovery one wants to set the best possible limits. While it seems obvious that the goal is to find the lowest possible cross section limit, one should be careful and focus on excluding the largest amount of parameter space for a theory. We show that the combined cross section limit from both (or all) production mechanisms that produce the same final state provides the most sensitivity when attempting to exclude a theory.

Setting Limits

Process A

- Cross section: σ_A
- Efficiency: \mathcal{E}_A
- Luminosity: L
- Expected events: $N_A = L\sigma_A \varepsilon_A$

The same results hold for Process B

Total Process

$$N_T = N_A + N_B$$
$$N_T = L(\sigma_A \varepsilon_A + \sigma_B \varepsilon_B)$$
$$N_T = L\sigma_T \varepsilon_T$$

$$\sigma_T \equiv \sigma_A + \sigma_B \qquad \varepsilon_T \equiv \frac{\sigma_A \varepsilon_A + \sigma_B \varepsilon_B}{\sigma_A + \sigma_B}$$

Texas A&M University

Proof

Assume process A is excluded.



Paul Simeon and David Toback

Proof page 2

$$\sigma_T^{95} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_T}{\varepsilon_A} \right) \leq \sigma_A$$
$$\sigma_T^{95} \leq \sigma_A \left(\frac{\varepsilon_A}{\varepsilon_T} \right)$$

$$\sigma_T^{95} \leq \sigma_A \left(\frac{\varepsilon_A}{\varepsilon_T}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_T}{\sigma_T}\right) = \left(\frac{\sigma_A \varepsilon_A}{\sigma_T \varepsilon_T}\right) \sigma_T$$

Texas A&M University

Proof page 3

$$\sigma_T^{95} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_A \varepsilon_A}{\sigma_T \varepsilon_T}\right) \sigma_T$$

$$\sigma_T^{95} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_A \varepsilon_A}{\sigma_A \varepsilon_A + \sigma_B \varepsilon_B}\right) \sigma_T$$

$$\sigma_T^{95} \leq \sigma_T$$

More than 2 processes

We note that the reasoning can be extended to more than two production mechanisms.

$$N_T = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i = L \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i \varepsilon_i)$$

$$\sigma_T^{95} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_A \varepsilon_A}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \varepsilon_i}\right) \sigma_T$$

Texas A&M University